

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 479 581

IR 022 185

AUTHOR McSporran, Mae; Young, Stuart
TITLE Community Building: Facilitating Successful Online Courses.
PUB DATE 2002-10-00
NOTE 8p.; In: E-Learn 2002 World Conference on E-Learning in Corporate, Government, Healthcare, & Higher Education. Proceedings (7th, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, October 15-19, 2002); see IR 022 093.
AVAILABLE FROM Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education (AACE), P.O. Box 3728, Norfolk, VA 23514. Tel: 757-623-7588; e-mail: info@aace.org; Web site: <http://www.aace.org/DL/>.
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF01 Plus Postage. PC Not Available from EDRS
DESCRIPTORS Computer Assisted Instruction; Computer Mediated Communication; Constructivism (Learning); *Cooperative Learning; *Distance Education; Group Discussion; Higher Education; Instructional Effectiveness; Online Systems; Student Participation
IDENTIFIERS *Learning Communities

ABSTRACT

It is important to build a community of learners so that cooperative learning takes place. This is more difficult to accomplish online, where the lecturer has to overcome the natural reticence of students to post in shared class spaces. This paper suggests constructive methods of ensuring the success of an online course. These include: (1) reinforcing and recycling course content in a variety of integrated media and (2) encouraging students to become leaders and help their classmates. The paper reports the results (from 1999-2001) from three undergraduate and two postgraduate computing courses that each have a significant proportion of the course taught online. These courses are studied by students with a wide range of ages, ethnic backgrounds, life and work experiences. Widely ranging student participation rates are reported in a variety of online discussions and course exercises. Contributing factors to successes and problems are also discussed. (Contains 14 references.) (Author)

Community Building: Facilitating Successful Online Courses

Mae McSporran
School of Information Systems & Computing,
UNITEC Institute of Technology,
Auckland, New Zealand
mmcsporran@unitec.ac.nz

Stuart Young
syoung@unitec.ac.nz

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN
MICROFORM ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

G. H. Marks

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as
received from the person or organization
originating it.

Minor changes have been made to
improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated in this
document do not necessarily represent
official OERI position or policy.

ABSTRACT

It is important to build a community of learners, so that co-operative learning takes place. This is more difficult to accomplish online, where the lecturer has to overcome the natural reticence of students to post in shared class spaces.

This paper suggests constructive methods of ensuring the success of an online course. These include reinforcing and recycling course content in a variety of integrated media, and encouraging students to become leaders and help their classmates.

We report results (from 1999-2001) from three undergraduate and two postgraduate computing courses that each have a significant proportion of the course taught online. These courses are studied by students with a wide range of ages, ethnic backgrounds, life and work experiences. We report widely ranging student participation rates in a variety of online discussions and course exercises, and discuss the contributing factors that cause some branches of the online learning tree to blossom and others to wither.

1. INTRODUCTION

Many researchers point out the importance of building a community of learners, (Campbell and Haworth, 1999, Foley and Schuck, 1998, Lehtinen, et al., 1999, McSporran and King 2002), so that students can learn from each other. Felder and Brent, (1994) cite research that shows that "cooperatively taught students tend to exhibit higher academic achievement, ... better high-level reasoning and critical thinking skills, deeper understanding of learned material, more on-task and less disruptive behavior in class, ...".

Online conferences, either synchronous or asynchronous, are generally recognised as a powerful tool for active learning. As Klemm (1998) points out, they require students to "comprehend what is being discussed by others, to create ideas in the context of the topic at hand, to organize thinking coherently, and to express that thinking with carefully constructed language (hopefully, clearly and concisely)". However it is also generally recognised that students are reticent about posting in shared class spaces – perhaps because they lack the confidence to assert themselves in public, or they are afraid of embarrassing themselves in front of their peers. Klemm (1998) recommends eight ways to increase quantity and quality of student participation in online conferences.

It is all too easy to invite student participation in an online course only to find that the contributions never happen. It is necessary to encourage and cajole students to participate using inclusive, enthusiastic and motivational language. However this is very time-consuming, and can result in the tutor feeling trapped in a "virtual prison" (Hart and Gilding, 1997). In this paper we share our tips and experiences for ensuring student participation, while reducing personal time overheads for tutors.

Some of the pedagogical strategies that we employ to ensure the cognitive engagement of the online community include:

- Encouraging student leaders
- Forming student groups

- Peer grading or comment and student exemplars
- Motivational, student-centered, socially inclusive, enthusiastic, positive, and conversational dialogue in a variety of media, such as email, discussion forum posts, time-specific course website announcements and co-ordinated tasks.

2. ABOUT THE COURSES

We discuss three courses in the Bachelor of Computing Systems degree (Internet and Web Development 1 and 2, and Help Desk) and two courses in the Masters of Computing degree (Internet Applications and Website Management). All our courses are taught in flexible task-orientated manner. We generally offer co-ordinated classroom sessions, paper and online lecture notes, practical exercises, and integrated usage of communication alternatives offered by the standard tools included in the course website building shells, Blackboard CourseInfo and WebCT. All of the courses involve some degree of assessment that is conducted online.

Internet and Web Development 1 is a popular introductory course, described in previous research (McSporran and Young 2001, Young, et al. 1999). In the first assignment (20% of total) the students research an aspect of the Internet in groups. Part of this assignment (20% of total) is attributed to online communication: how effectively the students communicate using email, web-based discussion forums and chat.

We first implemented a web-based discussion forum in IWD1 in the 1999/2000 Summer School, which was the first real remote class. The discussion forum was promoted, but we were disappointed with the low student usage, even though the remote students had few other ways of forming a community of learners, (Dewstow, et al. 2000).

In Semester 1, 2000 we assessed student usage of the group discussion forum for first time, forcing students to use it. To our surprise we noted that usage didn't improve, and with hindsight we noted that usage of the discussion forum in the first Summer School was actually quite good.

In 2000, the Master of Computing degree started at UNITEC. This is taught part-time one weekend a month, with online activities between the monthly class sessions. After experiencing the low usage of the IWD1 discussion forum, the decision was made to follow the advice of Klemm (1998) to increase quantity and quality of student participation. We designed structured and/or assessed exercises to encourage discussion forum usage, described in detail in a previous paper (Young, 2001).

In Semester 2, 2001, IWD2, a course focussing on real-world tasks and involving many class exercises, was offered for the first time. Very low participation rates in the class exercises were experienced. The decision was made to force participation in Semester 1, 2002, by allocating a proportion (20%) of the first assignment (25% of the course total) for participation in 20 class exercises. There was a dramatic positive effect on participation rates.

3. DESCRIPTIONS OF EXERCISES UTILISING DISCUSSION FORA

Examples of structured, assessed exercises that utilised a discussion forum include:

- **Website critique**
An individual component of a postgraduate course group research project. Three member syndicates choose a topic and each student submits a critique of a single website within the topic area. A week later the students are required to reply to their syndicate members' critiques. After a further week the writer of the critique submits a response that integrates all the posts. Thus in a three person group, each student writes two replies, and each student receives two replies.
- **Debate**
A postgraduate course syndicate assessment that followed a typical debate format, again on a weekly basis, with the groups required to make opening and closing statements and to respond to the points made by the opposing syndicate.

- **URL Synopsis**

An individual assessment, designed to encourage the postgraduate course students to continue their reading around the subject and develop their communication skills. They were required to write one sentence reviews of a useful web resource. These were marked on quality and applicability of the resource and accuracy of the review.

Some examples of structured, yet non-assessed exercises that utilised the discussion forum include:

- **Website changes**

The students in this postgraduate course were given a case study for a complex e-commerce website, which included many screenshots of the different pages of the website. The students were asked to read the case study, to visit the website, and to identify the many changes that had been made since the case study was written. They were asked to list changes, giving reasons why they thought the changes were made, in a post to the discussion forum. After one week there were no posts to the discussion forum. The lecturer then posted a list of 5 changes and asked the students to reply with reasons, which only solicited 2 reply posts.

- **Server monitoring**

Undergraduate and postgraduate students were asked to repeatedly test the response time of various websites, to calculate the average response time and to post their results to the discussion forum for collation. In classes where this exercise was not assessed there was poor participation. In IWD2, Semester 1, 2002 where participation was assessed, rates of close to 100% were experienced.

4. STUDY METHODOLOGY

Data was collected from course website usage statistics. WebCT supplies data on the number of discussion forum postings read and posted by each student, although there is no way to identify assignment group posts or general posts. Blackboard offers no data for each student, necessitating a manual count of student postings. It is impossible to detect how many posts each student read.

In this and our other studies we have decide to include a student's records if those students have recorded at least one assessment mark. Some of the students who drop out without submitting an assessment utilise the course website fully, while some students who submit one or more assignments never visit the website.

5. STUDENT DISCUSSION FORUM PARTICIPATION RESULTS

[Table 5.1: Average student discussion forum participation, IWD1.]

Semester	Average Posts Read	Average Posts Made
Summer School, 99-00	75.0	4.0
Semester 1, 2000	21.5	3.0
Semester 2, 2000	23.1	3.1
Summer School, 00-01	16.9	3.5
Semester 1, 2001	32.0	3.3
Semester 2, 2001	30.6	5.3

Table 5.1 reports participation in the IWD1 discussion forum, 1999-2001. The average number of posts read, and posts made by each student are reported - generally participation rates are low. The 99-00 Summer School has a high Average Posts Read result proving that this cohort of students developed an online community of learners - they really were interested in what the other students had to say.

In contrast, the figures for the 00-01 Summer School are very low. Two reasons for this may be:

- This cohort was mainly composed of Asian students who preferred to form their learning teams offline. Felder and Brent (1994) note that co-operative learning can occur in or out of class.
- The lecturer teaching this online course was disadvantaged by personal injury and made far less posts than normal.

Having experienced low participation rates in 2000, we increased our efforts to promote the discussion forum and there was improvement in 2001.

Table 5.2 and 5.3 describe different categories of forum activities, based on the work of Klemm (1998).

[Table 5.2: Average discussion forum participation, IWD2, Semester 2, 2001. Numbers in parenthesis are the number of posts that each student **should** have made.]

Forum activity type	Posts Made
non-Structured, non-Assessed	0.6
Structured, non-Assessed	1.6 (4)
Structured, Assessed	3.8 (4)
Total	5.0

[Table 5.3: Average discussion forum participation, postgraduate courses, 2000-2001. Numbers in parentheses are the number of posts that each student **should** have made.]

Forum activity type	Posts Made			
	S1, 00	S2, 00	S1, 01	S2, 01
non-Structured, non-Assessed	2.6	0.2	1.2	0.1
Structured, non-Assessed	-	0.4 (1)	0.6 (1)	1.2 (2)
Structured, Assessed	7.4 (8)	6.0 (5)	7.7 (8)	6.3 (7)
Total	9.9	6.6	9.5	7.6

Postgraduates would be expected to be more motivated to take part in class discussions than undergraduates. However levels of participation in non-structured, non-assessed discussions were equally poor at both levels. Levels of participation in the General forum (non-structured, non-assessed discussions) in the postgraduate course, 818, were particularly poor, because there was no attempt by the lecturer to promote discussions. Levels of participation in structured, non-assessed exercises were disappointing. Only when the exercises were assessed was there near 100% participation. These results may be because of the non-English speaking background of a large percentage of the postgraduate students.

[Table 5.4: Average discussion forum participation, Help Desk, Semester 2, 2001. Numbers in parenthesis are the number of posts that each student **should** have made.]

Forum	Posts Made
General	0.9
Assignment 1	2.3
Industry Contacts	1.8 (1)
Personal Diary	26.6 (14)
Training Day	2.6

Table 5.4. shows that participation in the Help Desk discussion fora, in Semester 2, 2001 was considerably greater than required, and greater than the other courses. Some reasons for this success may include:

- An important outcome of the course is to improve communication skills.
- Students only receive departmental help with their industry placement if they display the level of communication skills required.
- Students develop personal relationships in class during the first six weeks of the course, which helps foster later online communication. Campbell (1999) found that quality of online contributions on a distance education course improved after the mid-semester class get-together.
- Several of the fora were related to real world tasks and involved peer grading. Klemm (1998) recommends that discussions should be interesting, require a deliverable, and involve peer grading.

6. DISCUSSION

6.1 Discussion Fora

We have noticed a trend for discussion fora to wither and die. There is often an initial flurry of posts as students excitedly discover the system and look for group members; then the numbers of posts falls off through lack of encouragement. It is important for the lecturers to respond quickly to queries posted in

the forum, so that students are not discouraged. This sets a precedent and students thereafter expect a quick response. On several occasions we have noted that if a question on the discussion forum has not been answered within a few hours, the student will then email us.

To keep the level of activity on a discussion forum ticking over it is necessary to continually post new material. This can be very time-consuming. Campbell (personal communication, 2002) found that student demands for attention became overwhelming and she therefore introduced two non-academics to her course to help respond to basic student enquiries.

We have a two-fold strategy for ensuring that contributing to a discussion forum does not overwhelm us. Firstly we recycle posts from previous semesters. Having built up an electronic resource of discussion forum posts, we can quickly copy and paste into a "new" posting, giving the illusion of a thoughtful posting. In addition, if an important news story occurs we can copy and paste it into the discussion forums for multiple online courses.

Our second strategy is to encourage students to take on a leadership role and respond to other students' discussion forum posts. This is discussed in Section 6.3.

6.2 Announcements

We deliver administrative, motivational and content-specific announcements to our students in more than one media: emails, and course website announcements and tasks, believing that strong integration of a variety of learning materials has a beneficial outcome.

We send out weekly newsletter emails to the students on the IWD1 and Help Desk courses. These contain content such as class administration issues, relevant news items, motivational material and notification of changes to the course website. A major component of the emails is content related to the assignments, such as upcoming deadlines, milestones (what should have been accomplished each week) etc. We re-use weekly emails from previous semesters, but with some editing so it is possible to add new material, for example answers to questions that may have been asked in class. The weekly emails are sent out every Friday, which allows the students to revise what they should have accomplished each week, and to prepare for the forthcoming week. They are in a standard format that the students become comfortable with; student comments refer to them as being "well organised".

We utilise standard weekly announcements that are reused every semester and one-off announcements that may come up, for example relevant news stories and questions asked by students.

An example of a weekly announcement from the IWD1 course: "In Week 3 the theory session is on search engines and effective searching while the practical session covers HTML Tables". The same text is re-used in the calendar tool, as a time-limited announcement on the front page of the website, in the weekly email, and in relevant discussion forum posts. An example of a relevant discussion forum post would be: "This week we covered search engines and effective searching in the theory session. You may find it helpful to share your experiences of using search engines with your group."

Blackboard also offers a Tasks tool, which allows students to see what tasks are currently due. Students can order these by priority or due date, and can change priority and toggle their Tasks from "Not Started" to "In Progress" to "Completed". Believing that this is a powerful tool for students to manage their own learning, both authors began to use the Tasks tool in our Blackboard hosted courses in Semester 1, 2002.

6.3 Community Leaders

Kim (2000) discusses the importance of encouraging online community members to become leaders and help other members. The benefits for the leaders are:

- Rewards of being an "insider",
- Satisfaction at helping others,
- Reinforcing their learning by teaching others
- Gaining new skills,
- Receiving feedback about their performance.

Leaders can either be in some official capacity, or simply enthusiastic members of the normal community. Oliver et al., (1997) suggest that "ways to encourage capable students in the class to spend the time to view postings and submit solutions, and tips and tricks" should be integrated into the online environment.

There are a number of ways of encouraging leadership (Kim, 2000). Firstly it is important that the lecturer leads by example, by maintaining a friendly, responsive, collegiate voice on the discussion forum. Next it is important to offer leaders some sort of status or reward, ranging from a simple acronym in their website username to awarding stars for helpful discussion forum posts. Finally, a document explaining the leadership process is created. This document contains information on:

- The process involved in being a leader,
- The personal skills that make a good leader,
- The benefits of becoming a leader,
- Good practice for leaders.

Such a document could be describing an official leadership role, or simply a page on the course website that encourages capable students to help others.

We encourage a leadership process within the IWD1 Assignment 1 groups, by asking the students to designate a group leader to represent the team. This individual receives private emails from the lecturers and is encouraged to help their fellow team members.

In Help Desk the students undertake a project to plan a Training Day. This is a group project, with each group assigned a different part. Each group chose a group leader, and all contact with the lecturer was through the group leaders.

Kim (2000) advocates creating a "backstage" area for leaders to gather, relax, prepare and discuss topics relevant to leadership roles. This private gathering space could be a mailing list, private discussion forum or chat room. In Help Desk a private discussion forum was created for the Training Day group leaders. Independently of the lecturer the group leaders organised a face-to-face meeting of all group leaders, when they discussed whether each group was on track. At the training day debriefing, the leaders commented that the face-to-face meeting was very useful, one student commented that it would have been helpful to appoint a leader of leaders since there was no-one to chair the meeting and act as an overall project manager.

Kim (2000) promotes the use of feedback loops to keep track of leader performance and, if necessary, to intervene. In Help Desk this was accomplished by entries in the student's personal diary, which could be monitored by the lecturer. At the end of the course group leaders commented on the performance of their group, while group members commented on the leaders' group management skills. An additional benefit for the leaders was that the lecturer offered to allow the students to include her name as a referee on their CV.

We also acknowledge student excellence by highlighting the best student work. The best student assignment websites are displayed in an annual gallery, and examples of good work in class exercises are highlighted and acknowledged. This is a driver for encouraging quality student work, and indeed we have noticed a general positive trend in the quality of student websites.

7. CONCLUSIONS

To build a successful community of learners online requires the same teaching skills as building a community in a classroom. Motivating and responding to students online is very time-consuming. In addition the lecturer must practice many different ICT skills. If employing teaching assistants is not an option, then to avoid lecturer burnout it is necessary to save time by recycling content, encouraging student leaders and good time-management.

Content can be redistributed and reinforced in a variety of integrated media such as emails, discussion fora, course notes (both hard and soft copy), exemplars, and in the various tools provided by the course shell such as calendar, announcements and tasks.

Strategies for encouraging student leaders are essential to motivate students to help others online.

We also need strategies to encourage students to ask questions in public fora, such as allowing students to edit or delete their posts, to post anonymously, or to build in opportunities for private communication, such as private group forums.

We hope that other online educators will learn from our experiences, as we learn from others.

8. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Our thanks to all the students on our all courses, 1999 to 2002.

9. REFERENCES

- Campbell, N. and L. Hawsworth (1999). The Nuts and Bolts of Learning with the Internet in Indigenous Contexts. LearnIT World conference: Indigenous Perspectives of Learning Online, Campbell.
- Dewstow, R., McSporrán, M., and Young, S. (2000). Remote Remedies: Challenges when Teaching Online. Proceedings of the National Advisory Committee on Computing Qualifications Conference, Wellington, NACCQ, p85.
- Felder, R. and R. Brent (1994). Cooperative Learning in Technical Courses. (available URL, accessed 15 March 2002: <http://www2.ncsu.edu/unity/lockers/users/f/felder/public/Papers/Coopreport.html>)
- Foley, G. and S. Schuck (1998). "Web-based conferencing: Pedagogical asset or constraint?" Australian Journal of Educational Technology 14 (2): 122 - 140.
- Hart, G. and A. Gilding (1997). Virtual Tutorials, Virtual Lectures, Virtual Prisons? ASCILITE'97: What works and why?, Perth, Curtin University.
- Kim, A. J. (2000). Community Building on the Web. Berkley, CA, Peachpit Press.
- Klemm, W. R. (1998). Eight ways to get students More engaged in Online Conferences. T.H.E Journal, T.H.E. institute. (available URL, accessed 14 March 2002, <http://www.thejournal.com/magazine/vault/A1997.cfm>)
- Laurillard (1993). Rethinking university teaching. A framework for the effective use of educational technology. London, Routledge.
- Lehtinen, E., K. Hakkarainen, et al. (1999). Computer Supported Collaborative Learning: A Review, CL-Net.
- McSporrán, M. and C. King (2002). Online Teaching demands online Commitment. NZACE, Wellington, Waikato University.
- McSporrán, M. and Young, S. (2001). "Does Gender matter in online learning?" Association for Learning Technology Journal (Alt-J) 9 (2): 3-15.
- Oliver, R., Omari, A. and Knibb, K. (1997) Creating Collaborative Computer-Based Learning Environments With The World Wide Web. Proceedings of ASCILITE, Perth, p444-449
- Young, S., Dewstow, R., and McSporrán, M. (1999). Who Wants to Learn Online? What Types of Students Benefit from the New Learning Environment? Proceedings of the National Advisory Committee on Computing Qualifications Conference, Dunedin, New Zealand, 293-300.
- Young, S. (2001). Innovative Use of Bulletin Boards in Undergraduate and Masters Level Online Courses. Proceedings of EdMedia: World Conference on Educational Multimedia, Hypermedia and Telecommunications. Tampere.



*U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)*



NOTICE

Reproduction Basis

X

This document is covered by a signed "Reproduction Release (Blanket)" form (on file within the ERIC system), encompassing all or classes of documents from its source organization and, therefore, does not require a "Specific Document" Release form.



This document is Federally-funded, or carries its own permission to reproduce, or is otherwise in the public domain and, therefore, may be reproduced by ERIC without a signed Reproduction Release form (either "Specific Document" or "Blanket").